683 was to be paid unconditionally and consequently would cost all
682 members of the amar; but all these attempts failed. At this point,
672 there was no doubt on the part of the attending crowd about Muftah's
695 responsibility for the death of Selouma. The question was, how much
613 had he to pay. The amount requested by Selouma's son was that for "intentional" killing on the basis that Muftah had willfully thrown the rock knowing that it might harm Selouma, especially considering his age.

683 After long and intensive negotiations with the interested
682 parties, a Mi'ad was set in which it was announced that Muftah had
672 to pay the amount of L.E. 200. In his reasoning, he stipulated
629 that the wound which had caused the death of Selouma, although it was intentional on the part of Muftah, was inflicted by a stone which in itself is not a lethal weapon, and therefore the death was an unintentional one for which the bloodmoney is customarily estimated at L.E. 300. He also stated that in the excitement that
[845] followed the death of Selouma, Muftah's pregnant wife had lost the child. The bloodmoney for the still-born infant was estimated at L.E. 100, which was deducted from the amount of bloodmoney that Muftah had to pay.

CASE #3: The Case of the Trespasser

311 This case occurred during the year of the fieldwork which was
423 the second dry year the area experienced. Rain had not fallen ex-
613 cept in a very few places. One of these places was near the small
[132] town of Sidi Barrani in which the land belonging to Yadem and his Bait was found. Yadem had sown his barley which at that time had grown to about five feet high. Surrounding the cultivated land